

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C (MMISSICOERIC) PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WAR (1940) REV

	WIND DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE		L1T-P1-553	5007
09/537,906	03/28/2000	Augustine J. Caffrey		

07/10/2002

Alan D Kirsch Bechtel BWXT Idaho LLC PO Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3899

EXAMINER LEE, SHUN K

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2878

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. CAFFREY ET AL. 09/537.906 Art Unit Office Action Summary Examiner 2878 Shun Lee -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If the person on reply specified above is rest train timing (30) only, a tegy within the standory imminum or timing (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation person will apply and will explice SK (is) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment See 37 CFR 1,704(b) Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2002 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,10 and 16-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6)[X] Claim(s) 1,10 and 16-42 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906 Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The papers filed on 10 May 2002 (certificate of mailing dated 23 April 2002) have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process. The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (i.e., a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within THREE MONTHS of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (i.e., the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.

Drawings

- The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 10 May 2002 have been approved.
- The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 10 May 2002. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

4. The amendment filed 10 May 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: in the paragraph beginning on pg. 7, line 21, non-linear has been changed to linear.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 1 in claim
 "::" should probably be --:--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 10, and 16-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as 7. containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of a continuously-emitting neutron source, a highresolution detector, and creating a single high resolution spectrum of at least 4096 channels which was not described in the specification. Claim 10 has been amended to include the limitations of a high-resolution multichannel analyzer, a high-resolution gamma-ray detector, comparing said peak energies from said first data to said peaks and peak centroids from said second data, calculating intensities of said peaks from said extracted net areas and counting times, identifying chemical elements and their concentrations contained in said chemical substance from said energies and intensities of said gamma-ray peaks and said second data, and identifying said chemical substance by determining a concentration which was not described in the specification. Newly added claim 28 include the limitations of a continuous neutron source, a highresolution detector, determining peak centroids and net peak areas extracted from said calibrated measured spectrum to determine counting rates for chemical elements of interest, identifying chemical elements and their concentrations, identifying by

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906 Page 5
Art Unit: 2878

determining an amount, if any, of a first element concentration and at least one second element concentration which was not described in the specification. Newly added claim 17 include the limitations of calculating intensities of said peaks, and comparing said net areas, energy peak centroids and intensities to gamma ray energies which was not described in the specification. Newly added claim 22 include the limitation of determining a concentration which was not described in the specification. Newly added claim 42 include the limitation that said confidence level is computed from intensities, uncertainties and multiplicities of gamma-ray peaks associated with a given chemical element which was not described in the specification.

- 8. Claims 1 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for 4096-16384 channels, does not reasonably provide enablement for at least 4096 channels (*i.e.*, greater than 16384 channels). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. It is unclear from the disclosure how to create a single spectrum of, for example, 10⁶ channels.
 - The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
 - 10. Claims 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906
Art Unit: 2878

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the identified chemical elements" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the identified chemical elements" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 10 May 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, thus applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/537,906 Page 7
Art Unit: 2878

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shun Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

SL July 8, 2002 CONSTANTINE HARMANIA PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2878